Choice of Suture Pattern for Linea Alba Closure

A Knowledge Summary by

Chrissy Roberts BA VetMB CertSAS MRCVS\textsuperscript{1,}\textsuperscript{*}

\textsuperscript{1} Hale Veterinary Group, 19 Langley Road, Chippenham, Wiltshire, SN15 1BS
\textsuperscript{*} Corresponding Author (chrissymaskell@yahoo.co.uk)

ISSN: 2396-9776
Published: 25 Sep 2017
in: Vol 2, Issue 3
DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.18849/ve.v2i3.99
Reviewed by: Victoria Arbona (DVM, MS) and Bruce Smith (BVSc MS FANZCVS DACVS)
Next Review Date: 25 Sep 2019
**Clinical bottom line**

Incidence of complications using either a simple continuous or a simple interrupted suture pattern in the linea alba is low if appropriate suture material is chosen and appropriate surgical technique is used. More, well-planned studies with higher power are required before an evidence-based recommendation for one pattern over the other can be made.

---

**Question**

In adult dogs undergoing elective midline coeliotomy, does using a simple continuous suture pattern in the linea alba affect complication rate when compared to a simple interrupted suture pattern?

---

**Clinical Scenario**

What suture pattern used for linea alba closure following elective ovariohysterectomy in the bitch will result in the lowest complication rate?

---

**The Evidence**

Canine midline coeliotomy is a commonly performed procedure in first opinion and referral small animal practice. Historically, a simple interrupted suture pattern has been employed to suture the linea alba during closure (Bellenger, 2003). Standard surgical texts also refer to a simple continuous pattern (Smeak, 2012). A simple continuous pattern has theoretical benefits over a simple interrupted pattern, taking less time and using less suture material, so it is important to know whether the two result in different complication rates, to allow veterinary surgeons to make an informed decision when choosing a pattern for linea alba closure.

Different types of study provide different strengths of evidence, as illustrated by evidence pyramids (Aragon et al., 2003). An on-line bibliographic search performed in November 2016 identified 186 possible results. A review of the results identified only five references with data relevant to answering the current question, and these sources provided only weak evidence as summarised below.

---

**Summary of the evidence**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crowe (1978)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Population:</strong> Dogs and cats ranging in age from 4 weeks to 19 years undergoing midline coeliotomy for any reason at a veterinary hospital in Michigan, U.S.A. over a 2 year period.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sample size:</strong> 469 dogs and 81 cats, of various sizes and ages.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Intervention details:</strong> The aim of the study was to provide evidence that a simple continuous closure of the linea alba using non-absorbable suture material is safe and effective. Following completion of the intra-abdominal procedure(s), abdominal wall closure was performed using polypropylene in a simple continuous pattern (2 metric for animals &lt;2.5kg, 3 metric for animals 2.5-10kg and 3.5 metric for those &gt;10kg). Suture bites were placed 3-5mm from the incised clinical bottom line.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
edge and 5-10mm apart.

**Study design:** Prospective clinical case series.

**Outcome studied:** Subjective assessment of patient comfort and the gross characteristics of the healing incision, attempting to follow each patient for at least two months post-operatively.

**Main findings:** One abdominal wall dehiscence recognised out of 550 abdominal wall closures (0.18%), which occurred due to suture breakage. No other wound complications attributed to the closure or suture material were noted in other patients.

**Limitations:**
- No control group.
- Number of surgeons involved and their experience not stated.
- 65 animals followed up for 10 days or less – insufficient time for all possible complications to develop.
- Precise nature of follow-up after suture removal (veterinary vs owner assessment) not clear.
- Inclusion/exclusion criteria not clearly stated – i.e. not clear if every consecutive abdominal surgery within the study time period (December 1975 to December 1977) was included or whether some were excluded. Not clear if animals that had previously had abdominal surgery were excluded or not.
- Multiple patient and surgical variables: two species, large age range, large weight range (1-80 kg), multiple indications for coeliotomy, large range in length of ‘abdominal incision’ from 3-55cm, variation in wound classification on closure.
- Degree of post-operative confinement not stated.

---

**Kummeling (1998)**

**Population:** Dogs ≥15kg and > 6 months undergoing any surgical procedure via a midline abdominal incision. Dogs with a history of previous coeliotomy excluded.

**Sample size:** 40 (20 in each of 2 groups).

**Intervention details:** The aim of the study was to compare closure of the rectus sheath with a continuous looped suture with simple interrupted sutures with respect to speed, safety and cost. Dogs were randomly allocated to one of two groups. Following completion of the intra-abdominal procedure(s), abdominal wall closure was performed using simple continuous double-stranded 3.5 metric polyglyconate (group 1) or single-stranded simple interrupted 3.5 metric polyglyconate (group 2). The simple continuous pattern was performed using a double strand of suture so that a loop of suture with both extremities attached to a swaged needle could be used. The looped suture was anchored at the beginning of the incision by drawing the needle through the loop formed after the first stitch.

**Study design:** Prospective, randomised controlled trial.

**Outcome studied:** Time taken to close rectus sheath measured. Wounds monitored for
three days after surgery. Owner assessed outcome obtained via telephone call one month after surgery.

| Main findings: (relevant to PICO question): | Dehiscence of linea alba recorded in one case (5%) closed with simple interrupted sutures due to knot loosening. Time taken to close rectus sheath significantly shorter using simple continuous pattern (mean duration for rectus sheath closure was 5.3 minutes using simple continuous pattern and 8.7 minutes using simple interrupted pattern). Mean length of incision was 18 cm in the group closed with a simple continuous pattern and 17 cm in the group closed with a simple interrupted pattern. |
| Limitations: | - Power calculation not performed.  
- Follow-up duration (one month) insufficiently long for fascial healing to occur.  
- Owner assessed outcome at the one month time point. Wound healing only assessed by a vet for three days post-operatively.  
- Double strand of 3.5 metric polyglyconate used in simple continuous closure vs single strand of 3.5 metric polyglyconate used in simple interrupted closure.  
- Large variation in weight, age, wound classification and duration of surgical procedure, although the differences in these variables was not significant between groups.  
- Variation in how the subcutaneous tissues were closed (one or two layers).  
- Nine surgeons involved, level of surgical experience not recorded.  
- Degree of confinement post-operatively not recorded/standardised. |

Rosin (1985)

| Population: | Dogs and cats undergoing a variety of abdominal procedures. |
| Sample size: | 61 dogs and 30 cats. |
| Intervention details: | The purpose of the study was to provide evidence that a simple continuous suture pattern for single layer closure of the rectus fascia is a safe alternative to a simple interrupted pattern. Following completion of the intra-abdominal procedure(s), abdominal wall closure was performed using a simple continuous pattern. Various suture materials were used (polyglycolic acid, polyglactin 910, polydioxanone, polypropylene and nylon). 2 metric suture material was used for cats and small dogs, 3 metric for medium dogs and 3.5 metric for large dogs. Suture bites were placed 3-5mm from the incised edge and 5-10mm apart. |
| Study design: | Prospective clinical case series. |
| Outcome studied: | Surgical site examined at the time of removal of skin sutures 6-12 days after surgery. Owner assessed outcome obtained by telephone call six months after surgery. |
| Main findings: | No incisional hernias recorded in the dogs. One incisional hernia
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Limitations:</strong></th>
<th>noted in a cat three weeks after surgery.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- No control group.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Size, age, weight and body condition score of animals not recorded.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Duration of anaesthesia/surgery for each case not recorded.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Indication for surgery in each case not recorded.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Not clear if all incisions were median or whether some were paramedian.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Wound classification on closure not recorded (e.g. presence or absence of peritonitis).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Suture material not standardised; various different suture materials with different initial tensile strength and absorption profiles used.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Time scale of veterinary follow-up (6-12 days) insufficient for fascial healing to occur.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Kirpensteijn (1993)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Population:</strong></th>
<th>Adult mature female mixed breed dogs.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sample size:</strong></td>
<td>18 dogs in phase II (three phase study, phase II experimental trial most relevant to current PICO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Intervention details:</strong></td>
<td>Ventral midline abdominal incision made from 5cm cranial to umbilicus and extending 20cm caudally. Incision divided into 4x5cm segments. Each segment of linea alba closed with either stainless steel fascial staples or 3.5m polypropylene in a simple continuous pattern. Closure technique randomly assigned to each segment. Subcutaneous tissue closed with 3 metric polyglactin 910 in a simple continuous pattern. Skin apposed with 1.5 metric polyglactin 910 in a simple continuous subcuticular pattern.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Study design:** | Three phase study:  
Phase I – experimental, cadaveric, biomechanical.  
Phase II – experimental, randomised, controlled. Dogs were randomly allocated to linea alba closure with staples or simple continuous closure with polypropylene.  
Phase III – prospective, clinical (only stainless steel staples used to close the linea alba in this phase). |
| **Outcome studied:** | Clinical examination including abdominal incision evaluation twice daily until euthanasia. Groups of six dogs each euthanised at 7, 14 and 31 days (groups A, B and C respectively). Incisions examined at post-mortem. Breaking strength was measured by placing tissue samples in tension grips and applying load until failure. Histologic appearance was assessed. |
| **Main findings:** | All group A and B dogs and one group C dog had mild seroma formation in the subcutaneous tissue at post-mortem examination. Omental fat was herniated between staples in group A dogs and one group C dog. One group B dog had omental fat herniated in both a stapled and sutured incision. No other complications reported. |
Kennedy (2011)

Population: Cats and dogs from animal shelters eligible for ovariohysterectomy by third-year veterinary students at a veterinary school. Animals with concurrent illness or a problem that increased risk of anaesthesia/surgery were excluded.

Sample size: 307 cats and 206 dogs.

Intervention details: The purpose of the study was to describe morbidity associated with ovariohysterectomy when performed by third year veterinary student as part of a surgical training programme. Animals underwent ventral midline coeliotomy and ovariohysterectomy. Linea alba was closed with a simple continuous pattern with synthetic absorbable suture material. Each student performed two surgeries.

Study design: Prospective clinical case series.

Outcome studied: Anaesthetic time and complications, surgery time and complications, post-operative complications including seroma formation, abdominal wall dehiscence, incisional infection and skin incision dehiscence. Animals assessed every 8 hours until discharge 72 hours after surgery.

Main findings: 7/206 dogs (3.4%) developed major complications. The most common major complication was dehiscence of the abdominal wall. 19/206 dogs (9.2%) developed minor complications including seroma formation, incisional infection or skin incision dehiscence. Significantly higher number of major surgical complications observed with students performing their first surgery compared to those performing their second surgery.

Limitations: - No control group.
- All surgeons were students (limited prior surgical experience).
- Precise type and size of suture material not recorded.
- Details of surgical technique (e.g. distance of suture bite from incision, distance between suture bites) not given.
- Variation in subcutaneous tissue closure between cases.
- Very limited follow-up duration (72 hours).
- Number of dogs developing each specific complication not recorded.

Appraisal, application and reflection

Only five sources with data relevant to the current question were identified.
Two of the sources were randomised controlled trials in adult dogs (Kirpensteijn et al., 1993; Kummeling et al., 1998). Neither study design was optimal. The sample size in the experimental Kirpensteijn study was very small (18 dogs), the suture material used for the simple continuous sections of linea alba closure (polypropylene) was not a type that is commonly used in linea alba closure in the U.K., and the ‘control’ was sections of linea alba apposed with stainless steel staples. Kummeling (1998) used 20 dogs in each group, but a power calculation was not performed to assess whether this sample size would be sufficient to attain statistical significance given that the difference in complication rate between the two groups was likely to be small. Another criticism of the Kummeling study is that only one strand 3.5 metric polyglyconate was used for the simple interrupted linea alba closures, whereas a double strand of the same suture material was used for the simple continuous closures.

The three remaining studies were prospective case series. All three included cats as well as dogs, the feline outcomes not being relevant to the current question. One of the case series studies (Crowe, 1978) again used polypropylene suture material while in another (Rosin, 1985) a variety of suture materials and sizes were used. Rosin and Crowe gave a description of how sutures were placed (bites placed 3-10mm and 3-5mm from incised edge respectively, with both studies placing bites 5-10mm apart). Surgical technique was not described in the third case series (Kennedy et al., 2011).

The number of surgeons involved and surgical experience was not stated in the Rosin and Crowe studies, but the Kennedy study used third year veterinary students in a surgical training programme, which is likely to have adversely affected complication rates. In all three case series studies, veterinary follow-up was of insufficient duration to allow for fascial healing. Histologic assessment of linea alba healing in the Kirpensteijn paper suggests that a minimum follow up of 1 month would be appropriate. Anecdotally, chronic incisional hernias can be noted weeks to years post-operatively (Smeak, 2012). On the human side, the European Hernia Society guidelines on the closure of abdominal wall incisions (in humans) (Muysoms et al., 2015) state that a 3 year follow-up should be mandatory in studies evaluating the rate of postoperative incisional hernia after midline laparotomy in humans.

Taken together, Rosin and Crowe provide weak evidence that a simple continuous pattern in the canine linea alba carries a low complication rate, with one major complication in 530 canine coeliotomy procedures (0.19%) (large majority of cases followed for at least 8 weeks on owner-assessed basis). This is in line with findings in humans, where the recommendation is to use a slowly absorbable monofilament suture in a simple continuous single layer aponeurotic closure with a small bite technique (5-8mm fascial bites placed every 5mm) (Muysoms et al., 2015).

The quality of evidence available to answer this question was disappointing. There were very few randomised controlled studies and those identified had significant flaws in methodology and were lacking in power. The strength of evidence provided by case series studies is less than that provided by randomised controlled studies (Aragon et al., 2005). The three case series-based studies identified here had inherent major limitations that further compromised the strength of evidence they provided, the most common limitation being insufficient veterinary follow-up duration.

Factors other than suture pattern are likely to affect healing of the linea alba post-coeliotomy, including (but not limited to) patient variables such as age and co-morbid disease, indication for surgery and surgical technique. In addition, a suture material that will retain a sufficient percentage of its tensile strength for long enough to support fascial healing should be selected (Sanz et al., 1988) regardless of which suture pattern is chosen. Knot security has been shown to be affected by suture type, number of throws per knot and surgeon experience (Marturello et al., 2014) so these factors should all be considered when performing surgery.

There is certainly scope for well-planned and executed studies in this area. A prospective, randomised controlled study comparing two groups of healthy adult dogs matched for age, size and body condition score
undergoing elective ovariohysterectomy would be helpful. A power calculation needs to be performed before the study starts to ensure the groups are an appropriate size. Midline coeliotomy is likely one of the most commonly performed procedures in small animal practice in the UK so an improved evidence base regarding closure technique would be valuable.

**Methodology Section**

### Search Strategy

| Databases searched and dates covered: | CAB Abstracts 1973 to 2016 Week 45  
|                                      | Pubmed 1910 – November 2016  

| Search terms: | CAB Abstracts:  
|              | 1. dog or dogs or canine or bitch*  
|              | 2. midline or mid-line or median or ‘median line’ or ‘linea alba’ or coeliotom* or celiotom* or laparotomy*  
|              | 3. interrupt* or continuous  
|              | 4. suture* or pattern  
|              | 5. suture* and pattern  
|              | 6. (1 and 2 and 3 and 4) or (1 and 2 and 5)  
|              | Pubmed:  
|              | 1. dog or dogs or canine or canines or bitch or canis  
|              | 2. midline or mid-line or median line or linea alba or coeliotomy or celiotom or laparotomy  
|              | 3. suture or pattern |

| Dates searches performed: | 24th November 2016 |

### Exclusion / Inclusion Criteria

| Exclusion: | References written in a language other than English  
|            | Study on species other than dog  
|            | Title not relevant to PICO  
|            | Full text not relevant to PICO |

| Inclusion: | Studies assessing healing of midline coeliotomy incisions in dogs where either a simple interrupted or simple continuous suture pattern was used for linea alba closure. |
Search Outcome

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Database</th>
<th>Number of results</th>
<th>Excluded – Title not relevant to PICO</th>
<th>Excluded – species other than canine</th>
<th>Excluded – Paper not relevant to PICO on reading full text</th>
<th>Total relevant papers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CAB</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pubmed</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>159</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference list, Chapter 17, Tobias and Johnston</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>163</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference list, Chapter 84, Tobias and Johnston</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference list, Chapter 18, Slatter</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference list, Chapter 29, Slatter</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference list, Chapter 32, Slatter</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total relevant papers when duplicates removed | 5
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