Crosstabs

CROSSTABS /TABLES=VAR00001 BY q0012 /FORMAT=AVALUE TABLES /STATISTICS=CHISQ PHI /CELLS=COUNT EXPECTED ROW

/COUNT ROUND CELL.

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

		Cases						
	Va	alid	Missing		Total			
	N	Percent	Ν	Percent	N	Percent		
Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine? * When did you last perform a literature search in order to influence your clinical practice?	405	99.5%	2	0.5%	407	100.0%		

Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine? * When did you last perform a literature search in order to influence your clinical practice? Crosstabulation

			When did you la	st perform a literat	ure search in orde	r to influence your	clinical practice?	
			Less than one month ago	1-2 months ago	3-6 months ago	7-12 months ago	Over 1 year ago	Total
Do you practise Evidence	Yes	Count	195	38	28	6	15	282
Based Vet Medicine?		Expected Count	174.8	39.0	25.8	9.1	33.4	282.0
		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	69.1%	13.5%	9.9%	2.1%	5.3%	100.0%
	No/Unsure	Count	56	18	9	7	33	123
		Expected Count	76.2	17.0	11.2	3.9	14.6	123.0
		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	45.5%	14.6%	7.3%	5.7%	26.8%	100.0%
Total		Count	251	56	37	13	48	405
		Expected Count	251.0	56.0	37.0	13.0	48.0	405.0
		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	62.0%	13.8%	9.1%	3.2%	11.9%	100.0%

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2- sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	45.256 ^a	4	.000
Likelihood Ratio	41.894	4	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	38.726	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	405		

a. 1 cells (10.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 3.95.

Symmetric Measures

		Value	Approximate Significance
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.334	.000
	Cramer's V	.334	.000
N of Valid Cases		405	

CROSSTABS

/TABLES=VAR00001 BY q0013 /FORMAT=AVALUE TABLES /STATISTICS=CHISQ PHI /CELLS=COUNT EXPECTED ROW /COUNT ROUND CELL.

Crosstabs

		Cases						
	Va	alid	Missing		Total			
	N	Percent	Ν	Percent	Ν	Percent		
Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine? * Approximately how many hours per month do you spend researching the literature?	404	99.3%	3	0.7%	407	100.0%		

			Appro	ximately how man	y hours per month	do you spend res	earching the literat	ure?	
			I don't research the literature	Less than 1 hour per month	2 - 5 hours per month	6 - 10 hours per month	11-20 hours per month	more than 20 hours per month	Total
Do you practise Evidence	Yes	Count	10	47	135	55	16	20	283
Based Vet Medicine?		Expected Count	20.3	60.9	125.4	48.3	11.9	16.1	283.0
		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	3.5%	16.6%	47.7%	19.4%	5.7%	7.1%	100.0%
	No/Unsure	Count	19	40	44	14	1	3	121
		Expected Count	8.7	26.1	53.6	20.7	5.1	6.9	121.0
		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	15.7%	33.1%	36.4%	11.6%	0.8%	2.5%	100.0%
Total		Count	29	87	179	69	17	23	404
		Expected Count	29.0	87.0	179.0	69.0	17.0	23.0	404.0
		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	7.2%	21.5%	44.3%	17.1%	4.2%	5.7%	100.0%

Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine? * Approximately how many hours per month do you spend researching the literature? Crosstabulation

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2- sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	41.493 ^a	5	.000
Likelihood Ratio	41.157	5	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	32.543	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	404		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 5.09.

Symmetric Measures

		Value	Approximate Significance
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.320	.000
	Cramer's V	.320	.000
N of Valid Cases		404	

CROSSTABS

/TABLES=VAR00001 BY q0014

/FORMAT=AVALUE TABLES

/STATISTICS=CHISQ PHI

/CELLS=COUNT EXPECTED ROW

/COUNT ROUND CELL.

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

		Cases							
	Va	Valid		Missing		otal			
	N	Percent	Ν	Percent	Ν	Percent			
Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine? * Have you ever actively contributed to scientific research?	407	100.0%	0	0.0%	407	100.0%			

Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine? * Have you ever actively contributed to scientific research? Crosstabulation

			· · ·	ver actively ientific research?	
			Yes	No	Total
Do you practise Evidence	Yes	Count	147	137	284
Based Vet Medicine?		Expected Count	132.6	151.4	284.0
		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	51.8%	48.2%	100.0%
	No/Unsure	Count	43	80	123
		Expected Count	57.4	65.6	123.0
		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	35.0%	65.0%	100.0%
Total		Count	190	217	407
		Expected Count	190.0	217.0	407.0
		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	46.7%	53.3%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2- sided)	Exact Sig. (2- sided)	Exact Sig. (1- sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	9.734 ^a	1	.002		
Continuity Correction ^b	9.071	1	.003		
Likelihood Ratio	9.864	1	.002		
Fisher's Exact Test				.002	.001
Linear-by-Linear Association	9.710	1	.002		
N of Valid Cases	407				

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 57.42.

b. Computed only for a 2x2 table

Symmetric Measures

		Value	Approximate Significance
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.155	.002
	Cramer's V	.155	.002
N of Valid Cases		407	

CROSSTABS

/TABLES=VAR00001 BY q0015

/FORMAT=AVALUE TABLES

/STATISTICS=CHISQ PHI

/CELLS=COUNT EXPECTED ROW

/COUNT ROUND CELL.

Crosstabs

		Cases					
	Va	alid	Missing		Total		
	N	Percent	Ν	Percent	N	Percent	
Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine? * Have you actively contributed so scientific research in the past 12 months?	405	99.5%	2	0.5%	407	100.0%	

Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine? * Have you actively contributed so scientific research in the past 12 months? Crosstabulation

			Have you activel scientific researc mon	ch in the past 12	
			Yes	No	Total
Do you practise Evidence	Yes	Count	68	216	284
Based Vet Medicine?		Expected Count	56.1	227.9	284.0
		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	23.9%	76.1%	100.0%
	No/Unsure	Count	12	109	121
		Expected Count	23.9	97.1	121.0
		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	9.9%	90.1%	100.0%
Total		Count	80	325	405
		Expected Count	80.0	325.0	405.0
		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	19.8%	80.2%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2- sided)	Exact Sig. (2- sided)	Exact Sig. (1- sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	10.531 ^a	1	.001		
Continuity Correction ^b	9.665	1	.002		
Likelihood Ratio	11.664	1	.001		
Fisher's Exact Test				.001	.001
Linear-by-Linear Association	10.505	1	.001		
N of Valid Cases	405				

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 23.90.

b. Computed only for a 2x2 table

Symmetric Measures

		Value	Approximate Significance
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.161	.001
	Cramer's V	.161	.001
N of Valid Cases		405	

CROSSTABS

/TABLES=VAR00001 BY q0016 /FORMAT=AVALUE TABLES /STATISTICS=CHISQ PHI /CELLS=COUNT EXPECTED ROW /COUNT ROUND CELL.

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

		Cases					
	Valid		Mis	Missing		otal	
	Ν	Percent	Ν	Percent	Ν	Percent	
Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine? * Do you attend journal club(s) or any type of discussion forum about scientific research?	405	99.5%	2	0.5%	407	100.0%	

Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine? * Do you attend journal club(s) or any type of discussion forum about scientific research? Crosstabulation

			any type of dis	ournal club(s) or scussion forum ific research?	
			Yes	No	Total
Do you practise Evidence	Yes	Count	104	178	282
Based Vet Medicine?		Expected Count	80.1	201.9	282.0
		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	36.9%	63.1%	100.0%
	No/Unsure	Count	11	112	123
		Expected Count	34.9	88.1	123.0
		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	8.9%	91.1%	100.0%
Total		Count	115	290	405
		Expected Count	115.0	290.0	405.0
		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	28.4%	71.6%	100.0%

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2- sided)	Exact Sig. (2- sided)	Exact Sig. (1- sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	32.874 ^a	1	.000		
Continuity Correction ^b	31.514	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	37.896	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	32.793	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	405				

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 34.93.

b. Computed only for a 2x2 table

Symmetric Measures

		Value	Approximate Significance
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.285	.000
	Cramer's V	.285	.000
N of Valid Cases		405	

CROSSTABS

/TABLES=VAR00001 BY q0017 /FORMAT=AVALUE TABLES /STATISTICS=CHISQ PHI /CELLS=COUNT EXPECTED ROW /COUNT ROUND CELL.

Crosstabs

		Cases				
	Va	Valid		sing	Total	
	N	Percent	Ν	Percent	Ν	Percent
Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine? * Do you have access to scientific publications via platforms (such as Pubmed)?	404	99.3%	3	0.7%	407	100.0%

Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine? * Do you have access to scientific publications via platforms (such as Pubmed)? Crosstabulation

			publications via	cess to scientific platforms (such omed)?	
			Yes	No	Total
Do you practise Evidence	Yes	Count	196	86	282
Based Vet Medicine?		Expected Count	170.3	111.7	282.0
		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	69.5%	30.5%	100.0%
	No/Unsure	Count	48	74	122
		Expected Count	73.7	48.3	122.0
		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	39.3%	60.7%	100.0%
Total		Count	244	160	404
		Expected Count	244.0	160.0	404.0
		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	60.4%	39.6%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2- sided)	Exact Sig. (2- sided)	Exact Sig. (1- sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	32.383 ^a	1	.000		
Continuity Correction ^b	31.135	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	32.058	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	32.303	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	404				

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 48.32.

b. Computed only for a 2x2 table

Symmetric Measures

		Value	Approximate Significance
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.283	.000
	Cramer's V	.283	.000
N of Valid Cases		404	

CROSSTABS

/TABLES=VAR00001 BY q0018_0001 /FORMAT=AVALUE TABLES /STATISTICS=CHISQ PHI /CELLS=COUNT EXPECTED ROW /COUNT ROUND CELL.

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

		Cases						
	Va	alid	Mis	sing	Total			
	Ν	Percent	Ν	Percent	Ν	Percent		
Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine? * I practise veterinary medicine in a way that is supported by published evidence	406	99.8%	1	0.2%	407	100.0%		

Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine? * I practise veterinary medicine in a way that is supported by published evidence Crosstabulation

			I practise veterinary medicine in a way that is supported by published evidence				
			Disagree	Middle ground	Agree	Strongly agree	Total
Do you practise Evidence	Yes	Count	0	32	156	95	283
Based Vet Medicine?		Expected Count	3.5	61.3	147.1	71.1	283.0
		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	0.0%	11.3%	55.1%	33.6%	100.0%
	No/Unsure	Count	5	56	55	7	123
		Expected Count	1.5	26.7	63.9	30.9	123.0
		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	4.1%	45.5%	44.7%	5.7%	100.0%
Total		Count	5	88	211	102	406
		Expected Count	5.0	88.0	211.0	102.0	406.0
		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	1.2%	21.7%	52.0%	25.1%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2- sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	86.136 ^a	3	.000
Likelihood Ratio	89.536	3	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	81.567	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	406		

a. 2 cells (25.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.51.

Symmetric Measures

		Value	Approximate Significance
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.461	.000
	Cramer's V	.461	.000
N of Valid Cases		406	

CROSSTABS

/TABLES=VAR00001 BY q0018_0002

/FORMAT=AVALUE TABLES

/STATISTICS=CHISQ PHI

/CELLS=COUNT EXPECTED ROW

/COUNT ROUND CELL.

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	Ν	Percent	N	Percent
Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine? * Clinical practice is based on current research	405	99.5%	2	0.5%	407	100.0%

Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine? * Clinical practice is based on current research Crosstabulation

			(Clinical praction	ce is based on curr	ent research	1	
			Strongly disagree	Disagree	Middle ground	Agree	Strongly agree	Total
Do you practise Evidence	Yes	Count	2	16	78	134	53	283
Based Vet Medicine?		Expected Count	2.8	20.3	90.1	131.4	38.4	283.0
		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	0.7%	5.7%	27.6%	47.3%	18.7%	100.0%
	No/Unsure	Count	2	13	51	54	2	122
		Expected Count	1.2	8.7	38.9	56.6	16.6	122.0
		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	1.6%	10.7%	41.8%	44.3%	1.6%	100.0%
Total		Count	4	29	129	188	55	405
		Expected Count	4.0	29.0	129.0	188.0	55.0	405.0
		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	1.0%	7.2%	31.9%	46.4%	13.6%	100.0%

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2- sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	27.664 ^a	4	.000
Likelihood Ratio	34.415	4	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	23.553	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	405		

a. 2 cells (20.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.20.

Symmetric Measures

		Value	Approximate Significance
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.261	.000
	Cramer's V	.261	.000
N of Valid Cases		405	

CROSSTABS

/TABLES=VAR00001 BY q0018_0003 /FORMAT=AVALUE TABLES /STATISTICS=CHISQ PHI /CELLS=COUNT EXPECTED ROW /COUNT ROUND CELL.

Crosstabs

		Cases						
	Va	Valid		Missing		Total		
	Ν	Percent	Ν	Percent	Ν	Percent		
Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine? * I was taught how to practise EBVM at university	401	98.5%	6	1.5%	407	100.0%		

			I was taught how to practise EBVM at university					
			Strongly disagree	Disagree	Middle ground	Agree	Strongly agree	Total
Do you practise Evidence	Yes	Count	47	69	56	63	43	278
Based Vet Medicine?		Expected Count	60.3	77.0	56.8	50.6	33.3	278.0
		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	16.9%	24.8%	20.1%	22.7%	15.5%	100.0%
	No/Unsure	Count	40	42	26	10	5	123
		Expected Count	26.7	34.0	25.2	22.4	14.7	123.0
		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	32.5%	34.1%	21.1%	8.1%	4.1%	100.0%
Total		Count	87	111	82	73	48	401
		Expected Count	87.0	111.0	82.0	73.0	48.0	401.0
		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	21.7%	27.7%	20.4%	18.2%	12.0%	100.0%

Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine? * I was taught how to practise EBVM at university Crosstabulation

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2- sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	31.456 ^a	4	.000
Likelihood Ratio	34.274	4	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	29.972	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	401		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 14.72.

Symmetric Measures

		Value	Approximate Significance
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.280	.000
	Cramer's V	.280	.000
N of Valid Cases		401	

CROSSTABS

/TABLES=VAR00001 BY q0018_0004

/FORMAT=AVALUE TABLES

/STATISTICS=CHISQ PHI

/CELLS=COUNT EXPECTED ROW

/COUNT ROUND CELL.

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

		Cases							
	Va	alid	Mis	Missing		otal			
	Ν	Percent	Ν	Percent	Ν	Percent			
Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine? * Being a veterinary professional, I actively seek out opportunities to learn about EBVM	403	99.0%	4	1.0%	407	100.0%			

Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine? * Being a veterinary professional, I actively seek out opportunities to learn about EBVM Crosstabulation

			Being a veterina	ary professional, I	actively seek out o	oportunities to lear	rn about EBVM	
			Strongly disagree	Disagree	Middle ground	Agree	Strongly agree	Total
Do you practise Evidence	Yes	Count	1	18	77	122	63	281
Based Vet Medicine?		Expected Count	3.5	25.8	89.3	115.7	46.7	281.0
		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	0.4%	6.4%	27.4%	43.4%	22.4%	100.0%
	No/Unsure	Count	4	19	51	44	4	122
		Expected Count	1.5	11.2	38.7	50.3	20.3	122.0
		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	3.3%	15.6%	41.8%	36.1%	3.3%	100.0%
Total		Count	5	37	128	166	67	403
		Expected Count	5.0	37.0	128.0	166.0	67.0	403.0
		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	1.2%	9.2%	31.8%	41.2%	16.6%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2- sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	39.063 ^a	4	.000
Likelihood Ratio	43.515	4	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	37.866	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	403		

a. 2 cells (20.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.51.

Symmetric Measures

		Value	Approximate Significance
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.311	.000
	Cramer's V	.311	.000
N of Valid Cases		403	

CROSSTABS

/TABLES=VAR00001 BY q0019_0001 /FORMAT=AVALUE TABLES /STATISTICS=CHISQ PHI /CELLS=COUNT EXPECTED ROW

/COUNT ROUND CELL.

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

		Cases						
	Va	Valid		Missing		otal		
	Ν	Percent	Ν	Percent	Ν	Percent		
Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine? * Practising EBVM provides a competitive advantage over other practices	405	99.5%	2	0.5%	407	100.0%		

Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine? * Practising EBVM provides a competitive advantage over other practices Crosstabulation

					a competitive adva	antage over oth	er practices	
			Strongly disagree	Disagree	Middle ground	Agree	Strongly agree	Total
Do you practise Evidence	Yes	Count	3	31	114	91	43	282
Based Vet Medicine?		Expected Count	4.9	44.6	114.9	84.9	32.7	282.0
		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	1.1%	11.0%	40.4%	32.3%	15.2%	100.0%
	No/Unsure	Count	4	33	51	31	4	123
		Expected Count	2.1	19.4	50.1	37.1	14.3	123.0
		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	3.3%	26.8%	41.5%	25.2%	3.3%	100.0%
Total		Count	7	64	165	122	47	405
		Expected Count	7.0	64.0	165.0	122.0	47.0	405.0
		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	1.7%	15.8%	40.7%	30.1%	11.6%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2- sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	28.027 ^a	4	.000
Likelihood Ratio	29.374	4	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	25.623	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	405		

a. 2 cells (20.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.13.

Symmetric Measures

		Value	Approximate Significance
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.263	.000
	Cramer's V	.263	.000
N of Valid Cases		405	

CROSSTABS

/TABLES=VAR00001 BY q0019_0002

/FORMAT=AVALUE TABLES

/STATISTICS=CHISQ PHI

/CELLS=COUNT EXPECTED ROW

/COUNT ROUND CELL.

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Cases						
	Va	Valid		Missing		otal	
	Ν	Percent	Ν	Percent	N	Percent	
Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine? * Practising EBVM provides the best value to the customer	404	99.3%	3	0.7%	407	100.0%	

Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine? * Practising EBVM provides the best value to the customer Crosstabulation

					ovides the best val	ue to the cu	stomer	
			Strongly disagree	Disagree	Middle ground	Agree	Strongly agree	Total
Do you practise Evidence	Yes	Count	3	16	68	120	74	281
Based Vet Medicine?		Expected Count	4.9	23.6	73.7	122.4	56.3	281.0
		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	1.1%	5.7%	24.2%	42.7%	26.3%	100.0%
	No/Unsure	Count	4	18	38	56	7	123
		Expected Count	2.1	10.4	32.3	53.6	24.7	123.0
		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	3.3%	14.6%	30.9%	45.5%	5.7%	100.0%
Total		Count	7	34	106	176	81	404
		Expected Count	7.0	34.0	106.0	176.0	81.0	404.0
		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	1.7%	8.4%	26.2%	43.6%	20.0%	100.0%

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2- sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	30.283 ^a	4	.000
Likelihood Ratio	33.845	4	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	26.117	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	404		

a. 2 cells (20.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.13.

Symmetric Measures

		Value	Approximate Significance
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.274	.000
	Cramer's V	.274	.000
N of Valid Cases		404	

CROSSTABS

/TABLES=VAR00001 BY q0019_0003 /FORMAT=AVALUE TABLES /STATISTICS=CHISQ PHI /CELLS=COUNT EXPECTED ROW /COUNT ROUND CELL.

Crosstabs

	Cases							
	Valid		Missing		Total			
	Ν	Percent	Ν	Percent	Ν	Percent		
Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine? * Practising EBVM saves the client money	404	99.3%	3	0.7%	407	100.0%		

				Practising E	BVM saves the cl	ient money		
			Strongly disagree	Disagree	Middle ground	Agree	Strongly agree	Total
Do you practise Evidence	Yes	Count	4	50	123	83	21	281
Based Vet Medicine?		Expected Count	6.3	54.9	125.2	77.2	17.4	281.0
		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	1.4%	17.8%	43.8%	29.5%	7.5%	100.0%
	No/Unsure	Count	5	29	57	28	4	123
		Expected Count	2.7	24.1	54.8	33.8	7.6	123.0
		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	4.1%	23.6%	46.3%	22.8%	3.3%	100.0%
Total		Count	9	79	180	111	25	404
		Expected Count	9.0	79.0	180.0	111.0	25.0	404.0
		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	2.2%	19.6%	44.6%	27.5%	6.2%	100.0%

Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine? * Practising EBVM saves the client money Crosstabulation

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2- sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	8.162 ^a	4	.086
Likelihood Ratio	8.230	4	.083
Linear-by-Linear Association	7.540	1	.006
N of Valid Cases	404		

a. 1 cells (10.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.74.

Symmetric Measures

		Value	Approximate Significance
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.142	.086
	Cramer's V	.142	.086
N of Valid Cases		404	

CROSSTABS

/TABLES=VAR00001 BY q0019_0004

/FORMAT=AVALUE TABLES

/STATISTICS=CHISQ PHI

/CELLS=COUNT EXPECTED ROW

/COUNT ROUND CELL.

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Cases							
	Valid		Mis	Missing		otal		
	N	Percent	Ν	Percent	Ν	Percent		
Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine? * Practising EBVM builds trust with clients	403	99.0%	4	1.0%	407	100.0%		

Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine? * Practising EBVM builds trust with clients Crosstabulation

				Practising E	BVM builds trust	with clients		
			Strongly disagree	Disagree	Middle ground	Agree	Strongly agree	Total
Do you practise Evidence	Yes	Count	1	9	80	137	53	280
Based Vet Medicine?		Expected Count	2.1	17.4	86.2	134.1	40.3	280.0
		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	0.4%	3.2%	28.6%	48.9%	18.9%	100.0%
	No/Unsure	Count	2	16	44	56	5	123
		Expected Count	.9	7.6	37.8	58.9	17.7	123.0
		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	1.6%	13.0%	35.8%	45.5%	4.1%	100.0%
Total		Count	3	25	124	193	58	403
		Expected Count	3.0	25.0	124.0	193.0	58.0	403.0
		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	0.7%	6.2%	30.8%	47.9%	14.4%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2- sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	29.827 ^a	4	.000
Likelihood Ratio	31.526	4	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	26.272	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	403		

a. 2 cells (20.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .92.

Symmetric Measures

		Value	Approximate Significance
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.272	.000
	Cramer's V	.272	.000
N of Valid Cases		403	

CROSSTABS

/TABLES=VAR00001 BY q0019_0005

/FORMAT=AVALUE TABLES /STATISTICS=CHISQ PHI /CELLS=COUNT EXPECTED ROW /COUNT ROUND CELL.

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Va	Valid		Missing		otal
	Ν	Percent	Ν	Percent	Ν	Percent
Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine? * Clients are less likely to seek out a different practice if they know a vet is practising EBVM	404	99.3%	3	0.7%	407	100.0%

Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine? * Clients are less likely to seek out a different practice if they know a vet is practising EBVM Crosstabulation

			Clients are less l	ikely to seek out a	different practice i	f they know a vet i	s practising EBVM	
			Strongly disagree	Disagree	Middle ground	Agree	Strongly agree	Total
Do you practise Evidence	Yes	Count	17	72	106	61	25	281
Based Vet Medicine?		Expected Count	18.8	86.9	100.2	55.6	19.5	281.0
		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	6.0%	25.6%	37.7%	21.7%	8.9%	100.0%
	No/Unsure	Count	10	53	38	19	3	123
		Expected Count	8.2	38.1	43.8	24.4	8.5	123.0
		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	8.1%	43.1%	30.9%	15.4%	2.4%	100.0%
Total		Count	27	125	144	80	28	404
		Expected Count	27.0	125.0	144.0	80.0	28.0	404.0
		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	6.7%	30.9%	35.6%	19.8%	6.9%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2- sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	16.950 ^a	4	.002
Likelihood Ratio	17.631	4	.001
Linear-by-Linear Association	13.654	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	404		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 8.22.

Symmetric Measures

		Value	Approximate Significance
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.205	.002
	Cramer's V	.205	.002
N of Valid Cases		404	

CROSSTABS

/TABLES=VAR00001 BY q0019_0006

/FORMAT=AVALUE TABLES

/STATISTICS=CHISQ PHI

/CELLS=COUNT EXPECTED ROW

/COUNT ROUND CELL.

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Cases							
	Valid		Missing		Total			
	Ν	Percent	Ν	Percent	Ν	Percent		
Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine? * Clients appreciate an evidence based approach	401	98.5%	6	1.5%	407	100.0%		

Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine? * Clients appreciate an evidence based approach Crosstabulation

			CI	ients apprecia	ate an evidence ba	sed approac	h	
			Strongly disagree	Disagree	Middle ground	Agree	Strongly agree	Total
Do you practise Evidence	Yes	Count	5	32	121	100	21	279
Based Vet Medicine?		Expected Count	7.7	44.5	118.3	93.2	15.3	279.0
		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	1.8%	11.5%	43.4%	35.8%	7.5%	100.0%
	No/Unsure	Count	6	32	49	34	1	122
		Expected Count	3.3	19.5	51.7	40.8	6.7	122.0
		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	4.9%	26.2%	40.2%	27.9%	0.8%	100.0%
Total		Count	11	64	170	134	22	401
		Expected Count	11.0	64.0	170.0	134.0	22.0	401.0
		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	2.7%	16.0%	42.4%	33.4%	5.5%	100.0%

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2- sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	23.391 ^a	4	.000
Likelihood Ratio	24.757	4	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	19.760	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	401		

a. 1 cells (10.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 3.35.

Symmetric Measures

		Value	Approximate Significance
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.242	.000
	Cramer's V	.242	.000
N of Valid Cases		401	

CROSSTABS

/TABLES=VAR00001 BY q0019_0007 /FORMAT=AVALUE TABLES /STATISTICS=CHISQ PHI /CELLS=COUNT EXPECTED ROW /COUNT ROUND CELL.

Crosstabs

	Cases							
	Valid		Mis	Missing		otal		
	Ν	Percent	Ν	Percent	Ν	Percent		
Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine? * Clients are unaware of EBVM	402	98.8%	5	1.2%	407	100.0%		

				Client	s are unaware of E	BVM		
			Strongly disagree	Disagree	Middle ground	Agree	Strongly agree	Total
Do you practise Evidence	Yes	Count	1	17	60	123	78	279
Based Vet Medicine?		Expected Count	2.1	13.2	50.7	118.0	95.1	279.0
		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	0.4%	6.1%	21.5%	44.1%	28.0%	100.0%
	No/Unsure	Count	2	2	13	47	59	123
		Expected Count	.9	5.8	22.3	52.0	41.9	123.0
		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	1.6%	1.6%	10.6%	38.2%	48.0%	100.0%
Total		Count	3	19	73	170	137	402
		Expected Count	3.0	19.0	73.0	170.0	137.0	402.0
		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	0.7%	4.7%	18.2%	42.3%	34.1%	100.0%

Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine? * Clients are unaware of EBVM Crosstabulation

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2- sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	21.791 ^a	4	.000
Likelihood Ratio	22.392	4	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	14.268	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	402		

a. 2 cells (20.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .92.

Symmetric Measures

		Value	Approximate Significance
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.233	.000
	Cramer's V	.233	.000
N of Valid Cases		402	

CROSSTABS

/TABLES=VAR00001 BY q0019_0008

/FORMAT=AVALUE TABLES

/STATISTICS=CHISQ PHI

/CELLS=COUNT EXPECTED ROW

/COUNT ROUND CELL.

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

		Cases							
	Va	Valid		Missing		otal			
	Ν	Percent	Ν	Percent	Ν	Percent			
Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine? * Clients are more invested in the treatment choice through EBVM	402	98.8%	5	1.2%	407	100.0%			

Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine? * Clients are more invested in the treatment choice through EBVM Crosstabulation

			Clients a	re more invest	ted in the treatmen	t choice throu	gh EBVM	
			Strongly disagree	Disagree	Middle ground	Agree	Strongly agree	Total
Do you practise Evidence	Yes	Count	6	47	131	83	13	280
Based Vet Medicine?		Expected Count	7.0	50.8	139.3	73.8	9.1	280.0
		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	2.1%	16.8%	46.8%	29.6%	4.6%	100.0%
	No/Unsure	Count	4	26	69	23	0	122
		Expected Count	3.0	22.2	60.7	32.2	3.9	122.0
		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	3.3%	21.3%	56.6%	18.9%	0.0%	100.0%
Total		Count	10	73	200	106	13	402
		Expected Count	10.0	73.0	200.0	106.0	13.0	402.0
		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	2.5%	18.2%	49.8%	26.4%	3.2%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2- sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	12.447 ^a	4	.014
Likelihood Ratio	16.344	4	.003
Linear-by-Linear Association	9.209	1	.002
N of Valid Cases	402		

a. 2 cells (20.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 3.03.

Symmetric Measures

		Value	Approximate Significance
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.176	.014
	Cramer's V	.176	.014
N of Valid Cases		402	

CROSSTABS

/TABLES=VAR00001 BY q0019_0009

/FORMAT=AVALUE TABLES /STATISTICS=CHISQ PHI /CELLS=COUNT EXPECTED ROW /COUNT ROUND CELL.

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary Cases Valid Missing Total Ν Percent Ν Percent Ν Percent Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine? * Clients appreciate when I 403 99.0% 1.0% 407 100.0% 4 put in extra work researching their specific case

Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine? * Clients appreciate when I put in extra work researching their specific case Crosstabulation

			Clients appre	eciate when I pu	ut in extra work res	earching their s	pecific case	
			Strongly disagree	Disagree	Middle ground	Agree	Strongly agree	Total
Do you practise Evidence	Yes	Count	9	19	48	140	65	281
Based Vet Medicine?		Expected Count	7.7	20.9	44.6	140.8	66.9	281.0
		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	3.2%	6.8%	17.1%	49.8%	23.1%	100.0%
	No/Unsure	Count	2	11	16	62	31	122
		Expected Count	3.3	9.1	19.4	61.2	29.1	122.0
		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	1.6%	9.0%	13.1%	50.8%	25.4%	100.0%
Total		Count	11	30	64	202	96	403
		Expected Count	11.0	30.0	64.0	202.0	96.0	403.0
		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	2.7%	7.4%	15.9%	50.1%	23.8%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2- sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	2.388 ^a	4	.665
Likelihood Ratio	2.474	4	.649
Linear-by-Linear Association	.382	1	.537
N of Valid Cases	403		

a. 1 cells (10.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 3.33.

Symmetric Measures

		Value	Approximate Significance
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.077	.665
	Cramer's V	.077	.665
N of Valid Cases		403	

CROSSTABS

/TABLES=VAR00001 BY q0019_0010

/FORMAT=AVALUE TABLES

/STATISTICS=CHISQ PHI

/CELLS=COUNT EXPECTED ROW

/COUNT ROUND CELL.

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Cases								
	Valid		Missing		Total				
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent			
Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine? * EBVM helps overcome the unknown	403	99.0%	4	1.0%	407	100.0%			

Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine? * EBVM helps overcome the unknown Crosstabulation

				EBVM he	lps overcome the	unknown		
			Strongly disagree	Disagree	Middle ground	Agree	Strongly agree	Total
Do you practise Evidence	Yes	Count	3	11	42	159	65	280
Based Vet Medicine?		Expected Count	4.2	15.3	47.2	155.6	57.7	280.0
		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	1.1%	3.9%	15.0%	56.8%	23.2%	100.0%
	No/Unsure	Count	3	11	26	65	18	123
		Expected Count	1.8	6.7	20.8	68.4	25.3	123.0
		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	2.4%	8.9%	21.1%	52.8%	14.6%	100.0%
Total		Count	6	22	68	224	83	403
		Expected Count	6.0	22.0	68.0	224.0	83.0	403.0
		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	1.5%	5.5%	16.9%	55.6%	20.6%	100.0%

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2- sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	10.212 ^a	4	.037
Likelihood Ratio	9.940	4	.041
Linear-by-Linear Association	9.945	1	.002
N of Valid Cases	403		

a. 2 cells (20.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.83.

Symmetric Measures

		Value	Approximate Significance
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.159	.037
	Cramer's V	.159	.037
N of Valid Cases		403	

CROSSTABS

/TABLES=VAR00001 BY q0020_0001 /FORMAT=AVALUE TABLES /STATISTICS=CHISQ PHI /CELLS=COUNT EXPECTED ROW

/COUNT ROUND CELL.

Crosstabs

		Cases								
	Va	Valid		Missing		otal				
	Ν	Percent	Ν	Percent	Ν	Percent				
Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine? * Practising EBVM makes me feel like I have provided the best medical care for my patient	404	99.3%	3	0.7%	407	100.0%				

			Practising	EBVM makes m	e feel like I have p	rovided the bes	t medical care for	my patient	
			Strongly disagree	Disagree	Middle ground	Agree	Strongly agree	Not applicable	Total
Do you practise Evidence	Yes	Count	0	0	19	127	134	1	281
Based Vet Medicine?		Expected Count	.7	3.5	25.0	134.2	114.1	3.5	281.0
		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	0.0%	0.0%	6.8%	45.2%	47.7%	0.4%	100.0%
	No/Unsure	Count	1	5	17	66	30	4	123
		Expected Count	.3	1.5	11.0	58.8	49.9	1.5	123.0
		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	0.8%	4.1%	13.8%	53.7%	24.4%	3.3%	100.0%
Total		Count	1	5	36	193	164	5	404
		Expected Count	1.0	5.0	36.0	193.0	164.0	5.0	404.0
		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	0.2%	1.2%	8.9%	47.8%	40.6%	1.2%	100.0%

Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine? * Practising EBVM makes me feel like I have provided the best medical care for my patient Crosstabulation

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2- sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	37.011 ^a	5	.000
Likelihood Ratio	37.787	5	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	7.892	1	.005
N of Valid Cases	404		

a. 6 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .30.

Symmetric Measures

		Value	Approximate Significance
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.303	.000
	Cramer's V	.303	.000
N of Valid Cases		404	

CROSSTABS

/TABLES=VAR00001 BY q0020_0002

/FORMAT=AVALUE TABLES

/STATISTICS=CHISQ PHI

/CELLS=COUNT EXPECTED ROW

/COUNT ROUND CELL.

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

		Cases								
	Valid		Missing		Total					
	N	Percent	Ν	Percent	Ν	Percent				
Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine? * I frequently share research and clinical experience with colleagues	404	99.3%	3	0.7%	407	100.0%				

Do you	i practise Evid	lence Based Vet Medicine? *	I frequently shar	e research a	ind clinical experi	ence with c	colleagues Cross	tabulation	
			l fr	equently sha	re research and cli	nical experie	ence with colleagu	es	
			Strongly disagree	Disagree	Middle ground	Agree	Strongly agree	Not applicable	Total
Do you practise Evidence	Yes	Count	2	10	27	129	112	1	281
Based Vet Medicine?		Expected Count	2.1	17.4	31.3	135.6	89.7	4.9	281.0
		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	0.7%	3.6%	9.6%	45.9%	39.9%	0.4%	100.0%
	No/Unsure	Count	1	15	18	66	17	6	123
		Expected Count	.9	7.6	13.7	59.4	39.3	2.1	123.0
		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	0.8%	12.2%	14.6%	53.7%	13.8%	4.9%	100.0%
Total		Count	3	25	45	195	129	7	404
		Expected Count	3.0	25.0	45.0	195.0	129.0	7.0	404.0
		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	0.7%	6.2%	11.1%	48.3%	31.9%	1.7%	100.0%

Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine? * I frequently share research and clinical experience with colleagues Crosstabulation

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2- sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	41.589 ^a	5	.000
Likelihood Ratio	42.646	5	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	5.000	1	.025
N of Valid Cases	404		

a. 4 cells (33.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .91.

Symmetric Measures

		Value	Approximate Significance
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.321	.000
	Cramer's V	.321	.000
N of Valid Cases		404	

CROSSTABS

/TABLES=VAR00001 BY q0020_0003 /FORMAT=AVALUE TABLES /STATISTICS=CHISQ PHI /CELLS=COUNT EXPECTED ROW /COUNT ROUND CELL.

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

		Cases								
	Va	alid	Missing		Total					
	Ν	N Percent		N Percent		Percent				
Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine? * EBVM gives me more confidence in clinical decision making	403	99.0%	4	1.0%	407	100.0%				

Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine? * EBVM gives me more confidence in clinical decision making Crosstabulation

				EBVM gives	me more confider	nce in clinica	I decision making		
			Strongly disagree	Disagree	Middle ground	Agree	Strongly agree	Not applicable	Total
Do you practise Evidence	Yes	Count	0	2	28	127	121	2	280
Based Vet Medicine?		Expected Count	.7	4.2	37.5	135.5	98.0	4.2	280.0
		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	0.0%	0.7%	10.0%	45.4%	43.2%	0.7%	100.0%
	No/Unsure	Count	1	4	26	68	20	4	123
		Expected Count	.3	1.8	16.5	59.5	43.0	1.8	123.0
		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	0.8%	3.3%	21.1%	55.3%	16.3%	3.3%	100.0%
Total		Count	1	6	54	195	141	6	403
		Expected Count	1.0	6.0	54.0	195.0	141.0	6.0	403.0
		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	0.2%	1.5%	13.4%	48.4%	35.0%	1.5%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2- sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	37.068 ^a	5	.000
Likelihood Ratio	38.468	5	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	10.570	1	.001
N of Valid Cases	403		

a. 6 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .31.

Symmetric Measures

		Value	Approximate Significance
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.303	.000
	Cramer's V	.303	.000
N of Valid Cases		403	

CROSSTABS

/TABLES=VAR00001 BY q0020_0004 /FORMAT=AVALUE TABLES /STATISTICS=CHISQ PHI /CELLS=COUNT EXPECTED ROW /COUNT ROUND CELL.

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

		Cases							
	Va	Valid		Missing		otal			
	N	Percent	Ν	Percent	N	Percent			
Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine? * Practising EBVM provides me with an inspiring, intellectual challenge to my job as a vet	400	98.3%	7	1.7%	407	100.0%			

Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine? * Practising EBVM provides me with an inspiring, intellectual challenge to my job as a vet Crosstabulation

			Practising	EBVM provides	me with an inspiri	ng, intellectual	challenge to my job	as a vet	
			Strongly disagree	Disagree	Middle ground	Agree	Strongly agree	Not applicable	Total
Do you practise Evidence	Yes	Count	1	9	38	127	92	12	279
Based Vet Medicine?		Expected Count	2.1	13.3	57.2	121.4	71.8	13.3	279.0
		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	0.4%	3.2%	13.6%	45.5%	33.0%	4.3%	100.0%
	No/Unsure	Count	2	10	44	47	11	7	121
		Expected Count	.9	5.7	24.8	52.6	31.2	5.7	121.0
		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	1.7%	8.3%	36.4%	38.8%	9.1%	5.8%	100.0%
Total		Count	3	19	82	174	103	19	400
		Expected Count	3.0	19.0	82.0	174.0	103.0	19.0	400.0
		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	0.8%	4.8%	20.5%	43.5%	25.8%	4.8%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2- sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	47.645 ^a	5	.000
Likelihood Ratio	49.018	5	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	12.674	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	400		

a. 2 cells (16.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .91.

Symmetric Measures

		Value	Approximate Significance
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.345	.000
	Cramer's V	.345	.000
N of Valid Cases		400	

CROSSTABS

/TABLES=VAR00001 BY q0021_0001

/FORMAT=AVALUE TABLES

/STATISTICS=CHISQ PHI

/CELLS=COUNT EXPECTED ROW

/COUNT ROUND CELL.

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Cases								
	Va	alid	Missing		Total				
	Ν	Percent	Ν	Percent	N	Percent			
Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine? * There is not enough time to practise EBVM	401	98.5%	6	1.5%	407	100.0%			

Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine? * There is not enough time to practise EBVM Crosstabulation

				There is not	enough time to pra	actise EBVM		
			Strongly disagree	Disagree	Middle ground	Agree	Strongly agree	Total
Do you practise Evidence	Yes	Count	3	51	65	124	36	279
Based Vet Medicine?		Expected Count	2.1	41.7	67.5	120.4	47.3	279.0
		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	1.1%	18.3%	23.3%	44.4%	12.9%	100.0%
	No/Unsure	Count	0	9	32	49	32	122
		Expected Count	.9	18.3	29.5	52.6	20.7	122.0
		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	0.0%	7.4%	26.2%	40.2%	26.2%	100.0%
Total		Count	3	60	97	173	68	401
		Expected Count	3.0	60.0	97.0	173.0	68.0	401.0
		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	0.7%	15.0%	24.2%	43.1%	17.0%	100.0%

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2- sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	17.607 ^a	4	.001
Likelihood Ratio	18.775	4	.001
Linear-by-Linear Association	11.524	1	.001
N of Valid Cases	401		

a. 2 cells (20.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .91.

Symmetric Measures

		Value	Approximate Significance
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.210	.001
	Cramer's V	.210	.001
N of Valid Cases		401	

CROSSTABS

/TABLES=VAR00001 BY q0021_0002 /FORMAT=AVALUE TABLES /STATISTICS=CHISQ PHI /CELLS=COUNT EXPECTED ROW /COUNT ROUND CELL.

Crosstabs

	Cases							
	Valid		Missing		Total			
	Ν	Percent	Ν	Percent	Ν	Percent		
Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine? * Information is not freely available	402	98.8%	5	1.2%	407	100.0%		

			Information is not freely available					
			Strongly disagree	Disagree	Middle ground	Agree	Strongly agree	Total
Do you practise Evidence	Yes	Count	4	55	63	105	53	280
Based Vet Medicine?		Expected Count	3.5	50.1	61.3	103.8	61.3	280.0
		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	1.4%	19.6%	22.5%	37.5%	18.9%	100.0%
	No/Unsure	Count	1	17	25	44	35	122
		Expected Count	1.5	21.9	26.7	45.2	26.7	122.0
		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	0.8%	13.9%	20.5%	36.1%	28.7%	100.0%
Total		Count	5	72	88	149	88	402
		Expected Count	5.0	72.0	88.0	149.0	88.0	402.0
		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	1.2%	17.9%	21.9%	37.1%	21.9%	100.0%

Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine? * Information is not freely available Crosstabulation

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2- sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.701 ^a	4	.223
Likelihood Ratio	5.622	4	.229
Linear-by-Linear Association	4.778	1	.029
N of Valid Cases	402		

a. 2 cells (20.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.52.

Symmetric Measures

		Value	Approximate Significance
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.119	.223
	Cramer's V	.119	.223
N of Valid Cases		402	

CROSSTABS

/TABLES=VAR00001 BY q0021_0003

/FORMAT=AVALUE TABLES

/STATISTICS=CHISQ PHI

/CELLS=COUNT EXPECTED ROW

/COUNT ROUND CELL.

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

		Cases								
	Valid		Missing		Total					
	Ν	Percent	Ν	Percent	Ν	Percent				
Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine? * Clinical practice is often based on anecdotal evidence	403	99.0%	4	1.0%	407	100.0%				

			Clinical p	practice is often ba	sed on anecdo	tal evidence	
			Disagree	Middle ground	Agree	Strongly agree	Total
Do you practise Evidence	Yes	Count	30	66	123	62	281
Based Vet Medicine?		Expected Count	26.5	64.8	122.7	66.9	281.0
		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	10.7%	23.5%	43.8%	22.1%	100.0%
	No/Unsure	Count	8	27	53	34	122
		Expected Count	11.5	28.2	53.3	29.1	122.0
		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	6.6%	22.1%	43.4%	27.9%	100.0%
Total		Count	38	93	176	96	403
		Expected Count	38.0	93.0	176.0	96.0	403.0
		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	9.4%	23.1%	43.7%	23.8%	100.0%

Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine? * Clinical practice is often based on anecdotal evidence Crosstabulation

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2- sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	2.804 ^a	3	.423
Likelihood Ratio	2.879	3	.411
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.474	1	.116
N of Valid Cases	403		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 11.50.

Symmetric Measures

		Value	Approximate Significance
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.083	.423
	Cramer's V	.083	.423
N of Valid Cases		403	

CROSSTABS

/TABLES=VAR00001 BY q0021_0004 /FORMAT=AVALUE TABLES /STATISTICS=CHISQ PHI /CELLS=COUNT EXPECTED ROW

/COUNT ROUND CELL.

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Cases							
	Valid		Missing		Total			
	Ν	Percent	Ν	Percent	Ν	Percent		
Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine? * The evidence available is often of low quality	401	98.5%	6	1.5%	407	100.0%		

Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine? * The evidence available is often of low quality Crosstabulation

				The evidence	available is often	of low quality	1	
			Strongly disagree	Disagree	Middle ground	Agree	Strongly agree	Total
Do you practise Evidence	Yes	Count	4	61	81	98	35	279
Based Vet Medicine?		Expected Count	2.8	53.6	89.8	91.8	41.0	279.0
		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	1.4%	21.9%	29.0%	35.1%	12.5%	100.0%
	No/Unsure	Count	0	16	48	34	24	122
		Expected Count	1.2	23.4	39.2	40.2	18.0	122.0
		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	0.0%	13.1%	39.3%	27.9%	19.7%	100.0%
Total		Count	4	77	129	132	59	401
		Expected Count	4.0	77.0	129.0	132.0	59.0	401.0
		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	1.0%	19.2%	32.2%	32.9%	14.7%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2- sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	12.227 ^a	4	.016
Likelihood Ratio	13.426	4	.009
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.993	1	.084
N of Valid Cases	401		

a. 2 cells (20.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.22.

Symmetric Measures

		Value	Approximate Significance
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.175	.016
	Cramer's V	.175	.016
N of Valid Cases		401	

CROSSTABS

/TABLES=VAR00001 BY q0021_0005

/FORMAT=AVALUE TABLES

/STATISTICS=CHISQ PHI

/CELLS=COUNT EXPECTED ROW

/COUNT ROUND CELL.

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Missing		Total	
	Ν	Percent	Ν	Percent	Ν	Percent
Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine? * Clients do not want lengthy, diagnosis laden treatment options	404	99.3%	3	0.7%	407	100.0%

Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine? * Clients do not want lengthy, diagnosis laden treatment options Crosstabulation

			Clients d	o not want ler	ngthy, diagnosis la	den treatment	options	
			Strongly disagree	Disagree	Middle ground	Agree	Strongly agree	Total
Do you practise Evidence	Yes	Count	5	53	89	106	28	281
Based Vet Medicine?		Expected Count	3.5	45.2	84.2	108.5	39.6	281.0
		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	1.8%	18.9%	31.7%	37.7%	10.0%	100.0%
	No/Unsure	Count	0	12	32	50	29	123
		Expected Count	1.5	19.8	36.8	47.5	17.4	123.0
		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	0.0%	9.8%	26.0%	40.7%	23.6%	100.0%
Total		Count	5	65	121	156	57	404
		Expected Count	5.0	65.0	121.0	156.0	57.0	404.0
		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	1.2%	16.1%	30.0%	38.6%	14.1%	100.0%

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2- sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	18.937 ^a	4	.001
Likelihood Ratio	19.907	4	.001
Linear-by-Linear Association	16.861	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	404		

a. 2 cells (20.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.52.

Symmetric Measures

		Value	Approximate Significance
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.217	.001
	Cramer's V	.217	.001
N of Valid Cases		404	

CROSSTABS

/TABLES=VAR00001 BY q0021_0006 /FORMAT=AVALUE TABLES /STATISTICS=CHISQ PHI /CELLS=COUNT EXPECTED ROW /COUNT ROUND CELL.

Crosstabs

	Cases							
	Valid		Missing		Total			
	Ν	Percent	Ν	Percent	Ν	Percent		
Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine? * Eminence based veterinary medicine is taught at vet schools	394	96.8%	13	3.2%	407	100.0%		

			Eminend	e based vete	rinary medicine is	taught at vet	schools	
			Strongly disagree	Disagree	Middle ground	Agree	Strongly agree	Total
Do you practise Evidence	Yes	Count	9	32	137	79	17	274
Based Vet Medicine?		Expected Count	9.7	31.3	138.4	79.3	15.3	274.0
		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	3.3%	11.7%	50.0%	28.8%	6.2%	100.0%
	No/Unsure	Count	5	13	62	35	5	120
		Expected Count	4.3	13.7	60.6	34.7	6.7	120.0
		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	4.2%	10.8%	51.7%	29.2%	4.2%	100.0%
Total		Count	14	45	199	114	22	394
		Expected Count	14.0	45.0	199.0	114.0	22.0	394.0
		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	3.6%	11.4%	50.5%	28.9%	5.6%	100.0%

Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine? * Eminence based veterinary medicine is taught at vet schools Crosstabulation

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2- sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.905 ^a	4	.924
Likelihood Ratio	.935	4	.919
Linear-by-Linear Association	.250	1	.617
N of Valid Cases	394		

a. 1 cells (10.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 4.26.

Symmetric Measures

		Value	Approximate Significance
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.048	.924
	Cramer's V	.048	.924
N of Valid Cases		394	

CROSSTABS

/TABLES=VAR00001 BY q0021_0007 /FORMAT=AVALUE TABLES

/STATISTICS=CHISQ PHI

/CELLS=COUNT EXPECTED ROW

/COUNT ROUND CELL.

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Cases								
	Valid		Missing		Total				
	Ν	Percent	Ν	Percent	Ν	Percent			
Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine? * Application of EBVM ignores clinical experience	402	98.8%	5	1.2%	407	100.0%			

Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine? * Application of EBVM ignores clinical experience Crosstabulation

			A	pplication of E	BVM ignores clini	cal experiend	e	
			Strongly disagree	Disagree	Middle ground	Agree	Strongly agree	Total
Do you practise Evidence	Yes	Count	18	129	96	31	5	279
Based Vet Medicine?		Expected Count	16.0	118.0	98.6	37.5	9.0	279.0
		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	6.5%	46.2%	34.4%	11.1%	1.8%	100.0%
	No/Unsure	Count	5	41	46	23	8	123
		Expected Count	7.0	52.0	43.4	16.5	4.0	123.0
		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	4.1%	33.3%	37.4%	18.7%	6.5%	100.0%
Total		Count	23	170	142	54	13	402
		Expected Count	23.0	170.0	142.0	54.0	13.0	402.0
		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	5.7%	42.3%	35.3%	13.4%	3.2%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2- sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	13.947 ^a	4	.007
Likelihood Ratio	13.363	4	.010
Linear-by-Linear Association	12.792	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	402		

a. 1 cells (10.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 3.98.

Symmetric Measures

		Value	Approximate Significance
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.186	.007
	Cramer's V	.186	.007
N of Valid Cases		402	

CROSSTABS

/TABLES=VAR00001 BY q0021_0008 /FORMAT=AVALUE TABLES /STATISTICS=CHISQ PHI /CELLS=COUNT EXPECTED ROW

/COUNT ROUND CELL.

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Cases							
	Valid		Missing		Total			
	Ν	Percent	Ν	Percent	Ν	Percent		
Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine? * EBVM is impractical	403	99.0%	4	1.0%	407	100.0%		

Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine? * EBVM is impractical Crosstabulation

				E	BVM is impractica	al		
			Strongly disagree	Disagree	Middle ground	Agree	Strongly agree	Total
Do you practise Evidence	Yes	Count	28	155	81	15	1	280
Based Vet Medicine?		Expected Count	21.5	136.2	95.2	23.6	3.5	280.0
		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	10.0%	55.4%	28.9%	5.4%	0.4%	100.0%
	No/Unsure	Count	3	41	56	19	4	123
		Expected Count	9.5	59.8	41.8	10.4	1.5	123.0
		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	2.4%	33.3%	45.5%	15.4%	3.3%	100.0%
Total		Count	31	196	137	34	5	403
		Expected Count	31.0	196.0	137.0	34.0	5.0	403.0
		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	7.7%	48.6%	34.0%	8.4%	1.2%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2- sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	37.886 ^a	4	.000
Likelihood Ratio	38.104	4	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	37.100	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	403		

a. 2 cells (20.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.53.

Symmetric Measures

		Value	Approximate Significance
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.307	.000
	Cramer's V	.307	.000
N of Valid Cases		403	

CROSSTABS

/TABLES=VAR00001 BY q0021_0009

/FORMAT=AVALUE TABLES

/STATISTICS=CHISQ PHI

/CELLS=COUNT EXPECTED ROW

/COUNT ROUND CELL.

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

		Cases							
	Valid		Missing		Total				
	Ν	Percent	Ν	Percent	Ν	Percent			
Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine? * Through EBVM my clinical autonomy is lost or reduced	398	97.8%	9	2.2%	407	100.0%			

Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine? * Through EBVM my clinical autonomy is lost or reduced Crosstabulation

			Throu	ugh EBVM my	clinical autonomy	is lost or red	luced	
			Strongly disagree	Disagree	Middle ground	Agree	Strongly agree	Total
Do you practise Evidence	Yes	Count	47	150	62	15	4	278
Based Vet Medicine?		Expected Count	40.5	138.3	74.7	19.6	4.9	278.0
		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	16.9%	54.0%	22.3%	5.4%	1.4%	100.0%
	No/Unsure	Count	11	48	45	13	3	120
		Expected Count	17.5	59.7	32.3	8.4	2.1	120.0
		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	9.2%	40.0%	37.5%	10.8%	2.5%	100.0%
Total		Count	58	198	107	28	7	398
		Expected Count	58.0	198.0	107.0	28.0	7.0	398.0
		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	14.6%	49.7%	26.9%	7.0%	1.8%	100.0%

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2- sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	17.988 ^a	4	.001
Likelihood Ratio	17.734	4	.001
Linear-by-Linear Association	15.176	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	398		

a. 2 cells (20.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.11.

Symmetric Measures

		Value	Approximate Significance
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.213	.001
	Cramer's V	.213	.001
N of Valid Cases		398	

CROSSTABS

/TABLES=VAR00001 BY q0021_0010 /FORMAT=AVALUE TABLES /STATISTICS=CHISQ PHI /CELLS=COUNT EXPECTED ROW /COUNT ROUND CELL.

Crosstabs

	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	Ν	Percent	Ν	Percent
Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine? * I have received sufficient training to find evidence to solve clinical problems	400	98.3%	7	1.7%	407	100.0%

			I have receiv	ed sufficient trai	ining to find evider	ce to solve clini	cal problems	
			Strongly disagree	Disagree	Middle ground	Agree	Strongly agree	Total
Do you practise Evidence	Yes	Count	7	37	67	133	34	278
Based Vet Medicine?		Expected Count	10.4	44.5	75.1	119.5	28.5	278.0
		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	2.5%	13.3%	24.1%	47.8%	12.2%	100.0%
	No/Unsure	Count	8	27	41	39	7	122
		Expected Count	4.6	19.5	32.9	52.5	12.5	122.0
		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	6.6%	22.1%	33.6%	32.0%	5.7%	100.0%
Total		Count	15	64	108	172	41	400
		Expected Count	15.0	64.0	108.0	172.0	41.0	400.0
		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	3.8%	16.0%	27.0%	43.0%	10.3%	100.0%

Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine? * I have received sufficient training to find evidence to solve clinical problems Crosstabulation

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2- sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	19.107 ^a	4	.001
Likelihood Ratio	19.128	4	.001
Linear-by-Linear Association	17.889	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	400		

a. 1 cells (10.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 4.58.

Symmetric Measures

		Value	Approximate Significance
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.219	.001
	Cramer's V	.219	.001
N of Valid Cases		400	

CROSSTABS

/TABLES=VAR00001 BY q0021_0011

/FORMAT=AVALUE TABLES

/STATISTICS=CHISQ PHI

/CELLS=COUNT EXPECTED ROW

/COUNT ROUND CELL.

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

		Cases						
	Valid		Mis	Missing		otal		
	Ν	Percent	Ν	Percent	Ν	Percent		
Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine? * I am confident in evaluating scientific evidence to make reliable clinical decisions	402	98.8%	5	1.2%	407	100.0%		

Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine? * I am confident in evaluating scientific evidence to make reliable clinical decisions Crosstabulation

			I am confident	in evaluating scie	entific evidence to r	make reliable clin	ical decisions	
			Strongly disagree	Disagree	Middle ground	Agree	Strongly agree	Total
Do you practise Evidence	Yes	Count	1	22	46	164	46	279
Based Vet Medicine?		Expected Count	4.2	27.1	55.5	156.9	35.4	279.0
-		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	0.4%	7.9%	16.5%	58.8%	16.5%	100.0%
	No/Unsure	Count	5	17	34	62	5	123
		Expected Count	1.8	11.9	24.5	69.1	15.6	123.0
		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	4.1%	13.8%	27.6%	50.4%	4.1%	100.0%
Total		Count	6	39	80	226	51	402
		Expected Count	6.0	39.0	80.0	226.0	51.0	402.0
		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	1.5%	9.7%	19.9%	56.2%	12.7%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2- sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	27.745 ^a	4	.000
Likelihood Ratio	28.930	4	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	24.571	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	402		

a. 2 cells (20.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.84.

Symmetric Measures

		Value	Approximate Significance
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.263	.000
	Cramer's V	.263	.000
N of Valid Cases		402	

CROSSTABS

/TABLES=VAR00001 BY q0021_0012 /FORMAT=AVALUE TABLES /STATISTICS=CHISQ PHI /CELLS=COUNT EXPECTED ROW /COUNT ROUND CELL.

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

		Cases						
	Valid		Missing		Total			
	Ν	Percent	Ν	Percent	Ν	Percent		
Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine? * Vet nurses/techs should play a greater role in undertaking clinical research	400	98.3%	7	1.7%	407	100.0%		

Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine? * Vet nurses/techs should play a greater role in undertaking clinical research Crosstabulation

			Vet nurses/te	echs should play	/ a greater role in u	undertaking clini	cal research	
			Strongly disagree	Disagree	Middle ground	Agree	Strongly agree	Total
Do you practise Evidence	Yes	Count	4	17	95	113	51	280
Based Vet Medicine?		Expected Count	6.3	20.3	104.3	107.1	42.0	280.0
-		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	1.4%	6.1%	33.9%	40.4%	18.2%	100.0%
	No/Unsure	Count	5	12	54	40	9	120
		Expected Count	2.7	8.7	44.7	45.9	18.0	120.0
		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	4.2%	10.0%	45.0%	33.3%	7.5%	100.0%
Total		Count	9	29	149	153	60	400
		Expected Count	9.0	29.0	149.0	153.0	60.0	400.0
		% within Do you practise Evidence Based Vet Medicine?	2.3%	7.2%	37.3%	38.3%	15.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2- sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	14.863 ^a	4	.005
Likelihood Ratio	15.322	4	.004
Linear-by-Linear Association	14.542	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	400		

a. 1 cells (10.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.70.

Symmetric Measures

		Value	Approximate Significance
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.193	.005
	Cramer's V	.193	.005
N of Valid Cases		400	